

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

LUCY HOFFMAN,¹

Petitioner Below,
Appellant,

v.

RUBY HOWARD, TITUS
BROWN, III, ALAN COLLINS, and
JUDITH COLLINS,

Respondents Below,
Appellees.

§

§ No. 302, 2021

§

§ Court Below—Family Court of
§ the State of Delaware

§

§

§ File No. CN21-03534

§ Petition No. 21-14879

§

§

§

§

Submitted: October 22, 2021

Decided: October 28, 2021

ORDER

On September 22, 2021, the appellant filed an appeal from the Family Court’s September 16, 2021 case management conference in a guardianship proceeding. On September 23, 2021, the Senior Court Clerk issued a notice, by certified mail, directing the appellant to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed for her failure to comply with Supreme Court Rule 42 in taking an appeal from an interlocutory order. According to the tracking information for the certified mailing, a notice was left at the appellant’s address on September 25, 2021, as no authorized

¹ The Court previously assigned pseudonyms to the parties under Supreme Court Rule 7(d).

recipient was available. On September 28, 2021, the Family Court entered a scheduling order in the guardianship proceeding.

On October 11, 2021, the Clerk re-sent the notice to show cause by first class mail. To date, the appellant has not responded to the notice to show cause. The appellant having failed to respond to the notice within the required ten-day period, dismissal of this appeal is deemed to be unopposed.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, under Supreme Court Rule 3(b)(2) and 29(b), that the appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Tamika R. Montgomery-Reeves
Justice